# MOLDING VIEWS

Brought to you by the Injection Molding Division of the Society of Plastics Engineers



Chair's Message

#### IMD Membership & Fellow SPE Colleagues,

ANTEC 2015 was held March 23rd through March 25th at the Orange County Convention Center in Orlando, Florida; ANTEC was once again colocated with NPE in a venue that provided the Plastics Industry with an incredible opportunity to gain both technical knowledge and business acumen. Regarding IMD's ANTEC offering, I couldn't be more proud of 2015 Technical Program Chair (TPC) Ray McKee who was responsible for putting together nine sessions that highlighted nanocomposite technologies, microcellular foaming, simulation, processing, and emerging technologies. I am very thankful to fellow board member and 2016 TPC Jeremy Dworshak for arranging two tutorial sessions; the first focused on process optimization from the perspective of both the injection-molding machine and the tool, and the second focused on providing attendees with a better understanding of the materials we mold and why plastic parts crack. I also owe a great debt of gratitude to Jeremy for his help in pulling-off the best IMD Reception in well over a decade. In fact, this year's reception was

**Disclaimer:** The editorial content published in this newsletter is the sole responsibility of the authors. The Injection Molding Division publishes this content for the use and benefit of its members, but is not responsible for the accuracy or validity of editorial content contributed by various sources.

#### In This Issue:

Letter from the Chair	1
Industry Events	3
Webinar Listings	5
Ask the Expert: Injection Molding	8

#### This Month's Features:

Injection Molding of Thick-Walled Lenses ... **14** Christian Maier M.Sc., ENGEL AUSTRIA GmbH, Schwertberg, Austria; Dipl.-Ing. Josef Giessauf, ENGEL AUSTRIA; Prof. Dr.-Ing. Georg Steinbichler, ENGEL AUSRIA

10 Tips for Good Mold Design
Paul Kuklych, Injection Mold Design Engineer

#### IMD Best Paper: ......27 Paper Plastic Composites From Recycled Disposable Cups

IMD Board Minutes	39
IMD Leadership	43
IMD New Members	44
Membership Application	50
Publisher's Message/Sponsors	51

#### **Chair's Message Continued**

reminiscent of that from ANTECs of old. High quality presentations, large injection-molding machines, and a great networking reception — what more could a PLASTICS GEEK ask for.

IMD members, I really hope you were able to attend ANTEC 2015, but recent trends tell me that if you are from "industry" (as opposed to academia), the chances are very good that you did not participate in ANTEC. Quite frankly, I am very concerned about the indifference shown by my industry colleagues to our annual technical conference — are you opting out for a more specialized TopCon or Minitec? I'd like to know. I certainly hope that our maturing industry hasn't become complacent; but, if that is the case, then I call for change and it is time to get involved. A good place to start would be to attend the next IMD business meeting or any SPE conference of interest — IMD Board Members are looking at the possibility of co-hosting a Minitec with our colleagues in the Mold Making & Mold Design Division later in the 2015 calendar year. You could also consider becoming an IMD Sponsor. Sponsorship monies help your Board fund: 1) the ANTEC IMD Reception, 2) community outreach programs, 3) technical programming such as TopCons, Minitecs, and webinars, and 4) senior capstone projects at universities such as Penn State and Western Michigan. Please contact me directly to discuss any one of several levels of sponsorship that are available as well as other opportunities where you can make a difference; you can find my email address on the IMD web site – <u>http://injectionmolding.org/</u>.

#### Best regards to all,

#### David A. Okonski

IMD Chair & Staff Engineer, GM Global R&D Center





#### 70 deals and counting ...

#### Average of 50 placements per year

We have sold 70 plastic component manufacturers and have successfully completed nearly 200 executive recruiting projects in and around the injection molding industry.

We only work with injection molders and plastics processors. Whether you are looking to acquire another company, are considering retirement and are unsure about your options or just looking to fill a key position in your company, MBS can help.

#### **Industry Events Calendar**

Click the show links for more information on these events!



#### <mark>July 2015</mark> July 28 Novel Trends in Rheology VI

The international conference 'Novel trends in rheology VI', July 28 – 29, 2015, is organized by the Polymer Centre, Faculty of Technology, Tomas Bata University in Zlín in cooperation with the Applied rheology division, the Society of Plastics Engineers (SPE) and the Czech Group of Rheology. The meeting will capture recent development in areas of experimental and theoretical rheology, non-Newtonian fluid mechanics, applied rheology for advanced polymer processing with specific attention to polymeric nanofibers production.

www.4spe.org

#### **August 2015**

#### August 31- September 3 SPE Thermoforming Conference<sup>®</sup> 2015

Cobb Galleria Centre Renaissance Atlanta Waverly Hotel

The SPE Thermoforming Division invites you to attend its 24th Annual Conference created exclusively by and for the Thermoforming Industry. The Conference will be held at the Cobb Galleria Centre and the Renaissance Atlanta Waverly Hotel. Network with clients, vendors and industry leaders in one convenient location! Our exhibit hall will provide you with opportunities to meet with equipment, material, tooling and service providers. The SPE Thermoforming Conference<sup>®</sup> is the most convenient and cost-effective way to learn about the Industry.

www.4spe.org

#### September 2015

#### September 8-11 Foams 2015 and Tutorial

FOAMS<sup>®</sup> 2015 conference is planned in Kyoto, Japan, to address the advances in synthesis, characterization and properties of polymer foams by leading foam researchers in industry and academia.

www.4spe.org

#### September 15-17 westec 2015

WESTEC, the West Coast's premiere manufacturing event, gives you access to hundreds of industry experts and allows you to evaluate and compare cutting-edge manufacturing equipment, advanced technologies, new products and applications. <u>http://www.westeconline.com</u>



#### September 28-October 1 Canadian Manufacturing Technology Show

Meet 8,000+ manufacturing professionals who attend CMTS to source the latest in Machine Tools, Tooling, Metalworking, Forming, Fabricating, 3D Printing, Automation, and Design <u>http://cmts.ca</u>

#### October 2015

#### October 20-21 Additive Manufacturing Conference

The focus of the Additive Manufacturing Conference is on industrial applications of additive technologies for making functional components and end-use production parts. It will cover the processes, applications and materials to give you practical knowledge on how to implement AM in your facility. <u>http://www.additiveconference.com/events/additive-</u>

manufacturing-2015/event-summary-fdd05bae8ee349cb8c60c 9bd08e03500.aspx

#### October 29 Mold Flow Simulation- What Information Do You Get?

Erik Foltz, The Madison Group

#### November 2015

November 4 IMD Webinar Series

#### Gate/Runner Design

Matthew Jaworski, Autodesk

#### November 18

#### IMD Webinar Series

#### Injection Molding Part Design Fundamentals

Mark Matsco, Bayer Material Science



2015 SPE AUTOMOTIVE ENGINEERED POLYOLEFINS CONFERENCE SPONSORS



### TPOs Delivering Performance OCTOBER 4–7, 2015



### Attend the World's Leading Automotive Engineered Polyolefins Forum

#### Exhibit & Sponsorship Opportunities

Now in its 17<sup>th</sup> year, the show is the world's leading automotive engineered polyolefins presentations. forum featuring 60+ technical kevnote speakers, networking receptions, & exhibits that highlight advances in polyolefin materials, processes, and applications technologies as well as a growing range of thermoplastic elastomers (TPEs) and thermoplastic vulcanizates (TPVs). This year's show will be held Oct. 4-7, 2015 at the Troy-Marriott (Troy, Michigan) in the suburbs of Detroit.

Showcase your products and services at the world's leading automotive engineered polyolefins forum. Many sponsorship packages are available. Companies interested in showcasing their products and/or services at the SPE Auto TPO Conference should contact <u>TPOpapers@auto-tpo.com</u>.

www.spedetroit.org or www.speautomotive.com/tpo

PH: +1.248.244.8993, Ext. 3 Email: karen@auto-tpo.com SPE Detroit Section 1800 Crooks Road, Suite A Troy, MI 48084, USA

## Join our Fall webinar series only at

#### **Everything You Wanted to Know About Plastics Simulation but Were Afraid To Ask**

#### October 29: Presented by Erik Foltz, The Madison Group

Even though injection molding simulation has been around for almost 40 years, there are still many questions about its capabilities and accuracy. This presentation will start with an introduction to injection molding simulation briefly summarizing its history and milestones. A review of current capabilities and how it can be used to reduce, solve and optimize common issues will be presented. Find out how companies, perhaps even your competitors, are using simulation workflows to produce better plastics parts from upfront design feedback to optimization of manufacturing via design of experiments.



Erik Foltz is a Senior Managing Engineer at The Madison Group, an independent plastics consulting firm. As a Certified Professional Moldflow<sup>®</sup> Consultant at The Madison Group, he helps industrial clients verify their plastic part designs and optimize and troubleshoot their injection molding process through the use of computer simulation. Mr. Foltz received his M.S. from the Polymer Engineering Center at the University of Wisconsin – Madison. His specialties include plastic part design verification, process optimization and troubleshooting for injection and compression molding, and failure analysis of plastic products. Mr. Foltz is an active member of the Society of Plastics Engineers, where he serves as a board member of the Injection Molding Division (IMD). He is currently the IMD Technical Program Chair for ANTEC® 2012

#### **Importance of Runner and Gate Design for Injection Molded Plastic Parts**

#### November 4: Presented by Matthew Jaworski, Autodesk

Often seen as throw away material the design of the runner and gate scheme is often overlooked during the injection mold design process. However, the design of the runner and gate is critical to the manufacturing of quality parts. Not only do these features allow the melt to enter the molding cavity, but they also prepare the melt during injection. An improperly placed or sized runner or gate can lead to cosmetic issues, extreme processing conditions, and excessive cycle times. This presentation will highlight the key design features and guidelines that should be considered during design, and it influences the manufacturability of the part.



Matt Jaworski is a Technical Specialist for Autodesk's Manufacturing Simulation Team. He has over 17 years' experience in the injection molding CAE simulation field working for such companies as Hewlett Packard, Rubbermaid and Moldflow/Autodesk. He has dual BS degrees in Mechanical and Plastics Engineering Technology from Penn State, a MS in Plastics Engineering from UMass Lowell and is currently finishing his Ph. D. at UMass Lowell in Plastics Engineering. He is a member of the Society of Plastics Engineers and the American Society for Engineering Education. Matt is also active in education and has taught at the University of Massachusetts Lowell and Penn State Erie, The Behrend College as an adjunct professor.

#### **Injection Molding Design Fundamentals**

#### November 18: Presented by Mark Matsco, Bayer Material Science

In order to meet the demanding challenges of performance, appearance, and cost economics of a plastic part application, the part design must accommodate the unique properties of plastic materials and take full advantage of the design possibilities afforded by the molding process. The design must be tailored to meet the structural and dimensional needs of the application, and where possible, should incorporate integration features that ease assembly and handling. At the same time, the part design must also work within the constraints the injection molding process, to meet quality and cost targets.

This webinar is intended for anyone involved in the design and manufacture of molded thermoplastic parts. The focus will be on the fundamentals of injection molded thermoplastic part design, providing specific recommendations for materials such as polycarbonate and polycarbonate blends. Key topics include the design process, structural design, design for assembly, surface quality considerations and design for moldability. Several advanced topics such as design for thin-wall, gas-assist and other advanced processes will also be discussed.



In 1982 Mark M. Matsco began his career as a Structural Engineer for Bechtel Power Corporation. He became a Research Assistant at Michigan Technological University in 1984, and in 1985 he accepted a position as Research Engineer with Dow Chemical. He joined Bayer Corporation in 1987 as a Senior Design Engineer. In 1989, he started a delegate assignment to Bayer AG in Leverkusen, Germany where he worked in processing, CAE, design, testing and marketing. He returned to Pittsburgh in 1993 as Design Engineering Supervisor. Two years later he was promoted to Manager of Innovative Technologies, a position he held until his Technology Manager appointment with Exatec LLC, a joint venture company formed by Bayer AG and GE Plastics. In 2001 Matsco returned to Bayer Corporation in Pittsburgh in the position of Processing Technology Manager. In 2002 Matsco was appointed Director of Application Development. In this role he leads the Application Development team in providing plastic part/mold design, engineering, cost estimations, computeraided analysis, tooling expertise, optimization, concept solutions, advanced processing, part testing and on-site technical service.

Matsco received both his bachelor's degree in structural engineering and master's degree in mechanical engineering from Michigan Technological

University, A member of the Society of Plastics Engineers (SPE), Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) and author of numerous technical papers, Matsco is a frequent speaker at plastics industry events. Matsco currently holds seven design and process patents.

#### Webinars



<u>Getting Real about Manufacturing Education to Fill the Skills Gap: Key Elements of a</u> <u>Local Teaching Strategy</u>

Thursday, September 03, 2015 2:00 PM - 3:00 PM EST

<u>Fundamentals of Twin-Screw Extrusion Polymer Melting: Common pitfalls and how to</u> <u>avoid them</u>

2015, August 06

<u>As-manufactured Structural Simulation of Short Fiber Reinforced Plastic Parts</u> <u>High Density Compounds - Processing Do's and Don'ts</u> Advancements in Lightweighting with Polypropylene Compounds



### Would you like instant updates on SPE conferences and webinars?

### **GET IT ON OUR APP!**

Attending SPE Conferences and Webinars is a great way to keep up with current progress in plastics technology and learn about the latest breakthroughs in polymer science. Find practical solutions, share best practices and network with your professional peers throughout the year at SPE's many events.

> SPE Members receive discounted rates on all event registrations. GET THE APP! >



### WHO'S BRINGING NEW LEVELS OF PERFORMANCE TO COMPACT HOT RUNNER/MANIFOLD SYSTEMS?

#### At Plastic Engineering & Technical Services, we are.

We define performance. For nearly 30 years, we've helped our customers to produce more efficiently, with lower cycle times and lower per unit costs.

Our new compact stainless steel, modular unitized system features flexible heaters that can be utilized on multiple designs, so you don't have to stock custom bent heaters. Our new drop heaters provide more uniform heating and feature smaller pockets and no clamps. They have in-line flow restrictors



for better process repeatability, and no over-pressurizing the cylinders. It all adds up to a reduced sized hot runner system, shorter heating times and better tool performance.

We deliver value. We complement our hardware with leading-edge analytical tools, including Moldflow<sup>®</sup> and MOLDEX3D software. We'll work with you on design issues and optional gating solutions before the mold or hot runner manifold system is ever built. Use us for the mold flow analysis and the manifold build, and we'll do whatever it takes to make your hot runner/manifold system work to your complete satisfaction.

We're committed to your success. Find out more. Call us today at 248.373.0800 or visit us at www.petsinc.net.









#### Ask the Experts: Bob Dealey

### **Injection Molding Source Needed**



I received a call from Mr. Barnhart inquiring about an injection molding source. He provided this information:

- 1. He has a business of designing and supplying Point of Purchase display items to a number of clients.
- 2. The display shelves or racks are injection molded, decorated by hot stamping (or other decorating techniques). Many products include electronics where a light blinks or a recorded message is played when a consumer approaches.
- 3. He wants to buy the complete assembly.
- 4. What he is looking for is a molder in Mexico that can handle the entire project from obtaining the tooling to packing and shipping the completed product to a distribution center in the Chicago area.
- 5. Currently, the work is being done in China and has been for 10 plus years, but the story is always the same; the project runs behind, he is always on a plane traveling to resolve issues and by then the six to eight weeks shipping by boat either delays the roll out date or the client threatens to cancel the project.

Mr. Barnhart is asking for recommendations of companies who do this type of work and/or perhaps makes toys where similar operations are incorporated in their manufacturing facilities.

I'm unable to provide him with a company in Mexico that I know of that fits his requirements. However, it raised the question in my mind if there could be a company in the USA who has the capabilities and interest in talking with Mr. Barnhart regarding this opportunity. If so, please let me know and I will arrange an introduction. I can be reached at MoldDoctor@DealeyME.com or + 1 (414) 690-5700.

Bob Dealey MoldDoctor@dealyME.com

Bob Dealey, owner and president of Dealey's Mold Engineering, Inc. answers your questions about injection molding.

Bob has over 30 years of experience in plastics injectionmolding design, tooling, and processing.

You can reach Bob by e-mailing <u>molddoctor@</u> <u>dealeyme.com</u>

# SP/ ATEC 2015 IMD ANTEC Reception

Wednesday, March 25th, 2015 was the date for the Injection Molding Division (IMD) reception at ANTEC in Orlando, FL.

AutoDesk was the primary sponsor for the event and delighted the crowd (150-200 people) with numerous prize giveaways throughout the evening!

Individuals were given awards and recognized at the reception: ANTEC Best Paper, Engineer of the Year, Past Chair, Technical Program Chair (TPC), and special recognition for two Plastics Hall of Fame inductees (John Beaumont and Maureen Steinwall).

Thank you to all the sponsors of the event:

Gold: AutoDesk,

**Silver:** Alcoa, Beaumont, DRS, IQMS, Master Precision, Moldex3D, and SPE Detroit Section, **Bronze:** Steinwall, Inc., and Wisconsin Engraving.

Looking forward to 2016: IMD plans to have another successful reception at ANTEC in Indianapolis! We hope to see you there!













#### Feature: Multi-purpose Material Data for Injection Molding Simulation

By Daniel Roy, DatapointLabs.

### Multi-purpose Material Data for Injection Molding Simulation

Data requirements for injection molding simulation vary among different CAE platforms. These distinctions can plague those who have to support more than one type of CAE software in the course of their work. Material suppliers and product development groups, particularly those with a global presence, are coming to the realization that it is best to be prepared for this. Here is an increasingly common scenario that we see in our material testing laboratory:

A customer based in the US approaches us with a request for full molding characterization of a semicrystalline material for use in a Moldflow simulation. We provide the full complement of testing and data processing to meet that requirement. The customer walks away happy in the knowledge that they now have this digital material data and the corresponding input (UDB) file stored away in their company's Matereality database, perfectly prepared for use whenever they need it.

Then we get that inevitable call. "Your lab tested a material for us some time ago for Moldflow characterization, and now my European counterpart needs that data fit for use in Sigmasoft." The unfortunate news here is that additional testing will be required. Why can't we just refit that same properties data set for use in Sigmasoft and be done with it? This is because each brand of software requires similar, but not identical material property inputs, and they differ in subtle and potentially costly ways.

Continuing with our example of a semi-crystalline material, let's assume we are interested in an uncorrected, isotropic shrinkage characterization. The requirements are:

Moldflow	Sigmasoft
Capillary rheology measurements at three temperatures	Bagley-corrected capillary rheology at three temperatures
Specific heat	Specific heat
Thermal conductivity scan	Thermal conductivity scan
Isothermal PVT test	Isobaric PVT test
Thermal expansion coefficient by TMA	Thermal expansion coefficient by TMA
Poisson's ratio and tensile modulus	Temperature-dependent modulus and Poisson's ratio

#### Feature: Multi-purpose Material Data for Injection Molding Simulation

We now need to get the material back into the lab to, characterize the temperature dependency of the mechanical properties, run the capillary rheology tests for Bagley correction, and run an isobaric PVT test before we can provide the Sigmasoft data file.



Figure 1: (a) Isothermal PVT data for a semi-crystalline (polypropylene) material, as required for Moldflow simulations, compared to (b) Isobaric PVT data for the same material, as required for Sigmasoft simulations. It is possible to generate both sets of data from a single test, if the requirement to collect both isothermal and isobaric data is known up front.

#### Feature: Multi-purpose Material Data for Injection Molding Simulation

All this assumes, of course, that there is still sufficient material stored somewhere to accomplish the second round of tests, and that it hasn't been sitting around for years in adverse environmental conditions.

Material suppliers have another potential pitfall to deal with. Characterizing a material for only one CAE platform will likely mean coming back to the lab at some point to fill in missing data required by other CAE platforms. If too much time has elapsed since the first round of testing, there may be a need to produce more material. Subtle changes in formulation between lots could lead to measurable changes in properties, which would mean the new material must now be completely retested.

There is a solution to this obvious waste of money and time: test for multiple CAE platforms right from the start. Multi-CAE TestPaks<sup>®</sup> were designed specifically to generate the full range of data required by multiple CAE platforms that address similar simulation goals but require different material properties data as input.

The previous example of differing requirements for Moldflow and Sigmasoft packages could have been prevented by ordering the appropriate Multi-CAE Molding TestPak, in this case a post-filling + isotropic shrinkage and warpage characterization. All of the tests listed above are incorporated, including temperature dependency of mechanical properties and both isothermal and isobaric PVT tests. The hidden benefit is that the isobaric and isothermal PVT tests can be performed in a single run, saving expensive lab time. Plus, customers who support various CAE platforms in their work can have the peace of mind that comes with knowing their data is now fully ready for use in Moldflow, Sigmasoft, Moldex3D and Simpoe-Mold simulations.

#### **About the Author:**



Daniel Roy is a Mechanical Engineer with DatapointLabs.

DatapointLabs and its affiliate, Matereality, USA, form a comprehensive resource for strengthening the materials knowledge core of manufacturing enterprises. For 20 years, DatapointLabs' Technical Center for Materials has provided accurate material testing, TestPaks<sup>®</sup> material parameter conversion, and CAETestBench<sup>™</sup>

model validation services for CAE, allowing companies to populate their databases with high-quality, applicationready data for design and new product development. Matereality's Software for Materials gives companies the means to build databases to store properties, CAE material files, and related information on any material. The builtin suite of web-based software helps engineers visualize and understand material data, create CAE models, and manage materials information.

TestPaks is a registered trademark and CAETestBench is a trademark of DatapointLabs, LLC. Moldflow, Sigmasoft, Moldex3D and Simpoe-Mold are registered trademarks or trade names of their respective owners, who are CAE Software Partners of DatapointLabs.

#### <u>www.datapointlabs.com</u> Tel: +1-607-266-0405 | Fax: +1-607-266-0168

### SPE Fellow Member and Honored Service Member (HSM) Nomination



Do you know an IMD member who has made outstanding contributions to the industry or SPE?

If you know of an IMD member who deserves the special recognition of Fellow or Honored Service Member (HSM), please send an e-mail to Dr. and Professor Lih-Sheng (Tom) Turng (turng@engr.wisc.edu) with a brief description of their accomplishments by **July 31, 2015**.

According to SPE Bylaws, "To be elected an Honored Service Member, a candidate shall have demonstrated long-term, outstanding service to, and support of, the Society and its objectives; shall be sponsored, in writing, by the Board of Directors of at least one Section or Division." On the other hand, fellow members are honored for their outstanding contributions in the field of plastics engineering, science or technology, or in the management of such activities.

Deadline for the submission of a completed application is generally around the end of September. Information about the Fellow and HSM member recognitions and nomination can be found at:

http://www.4spe.org/Leadership/Content.aspx?ItemNumber=5986&navItemNumber=680 http://www.4spe.org/Leadership/Content.aspx?ItemNumber=5983

Christian Maier M.Sc., project head in the process technology development department, ENGEL AUSTRIA GmbH, Schwertberg, Austria; christian. maier@engel.at

- Dipl.-Ing. Josef Giessauf, head in the process technology development department, ENGEL AUSTRIA; josef.giessauf@engel.at
- Prof. Dr.-Ing. Georg Steinbichler, Senior Vice President of Development Technologies, ENGEL AUSRIA, and director of the Institute of Polymer-Injection
- Molding Technology and Process Automation at Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria; georg.steinbichler@engel.at

### **Injection Molding of Thick-Walled Lenses**

#### Efficient Production of Thick-Walled Parts

Injection molding is again demonstrating its versatility in the production of challenging optical plastic parts such as LED lenses for automotive headlights, with tolerances in the micron range. A new development in multilayer injection molding allows a further increase in productivity for the production of thick-walled lenses.

The markets for plastic optical parts differ significantly from region to region. While imaging optical parts for mobile appliances is predominate in Asia, in Europe the main focus is on the production of thicker lenses for LED lights. Since the cooling time during injection molding increases with the square of the wall thickness, the biggest challenge is to develop economic processes. With a typical thickness of 30 mm for automotive headlamps cycle times of at least 20 minutes are to be expected with standard injection molding processes <sup>1</sup>.





Above: The layers are manufactured by three-layer sandwich molding on an ENGEL duo 600 WP pico combi injection molding machine.

Photo courtesy of Automotive Lighting Reutlingen

**Figure 1:** Possible layer sequences for the example of a thick-walled three layer lens. Left: Preform 1 one-side overmolded with layers 2 and 3 sequentially; center: Preform 1 simultaneously overmolded on both sides with layers 2 and 2' (sandwich variant); right: Preforms 1 and 1' connected by injection of a second layer 2.



**Figure 2:** Cooling time per station in the mold, depending on the number of layers as per equation 4, and productivity as per equations 6 and 7 (see box). The respective single-layer part serves as reference (100 %) for comparing the illustrated results for overmolding on one side and on both side. Figure courtesy of ENGEL.

One possibility for cycle time reduction is multilayer technology, in which the thick-walled parts are built up from multiple successive layers. The multilayer structure can be generated by overmolding a first layer on either one or both sides, or by subsequently bonding together two previously independent layers by means of an interlayer (**see Figure 1**).

In general, the individual layers are all produced on the same injection molding machine. The use of separate injection units for the three layers is a first step towards short cycle times. This ensures that the process steps of injection, holding pressure and metering take place simultaneously and independently of one another.

#### What are the Benefits of Multilayer Technology?

Approximation formulas for cooling time and productivity can be derived from theoretical considerations (see box) and the results illustrated dependent on the number of layers (**see Figure 2**). The literature <sup>1–3</sup>, however, shows that this rule of thumb provides results that are too optimistic for estimating the cooling time saving.

Multilayer molding, however, offers the possibility of maintaining the mold regions for those surfaces that subsequently have to be overmolded at a lower temperature, since, in an ideal case, the surface quality of the internal layers does not affect the quality of the lens that is produced. If this potential is used for the manufacture of the preform, the estimated cooling time reduction agrees well with simulated and practical results. For optimizing the layer thickness distribution, it is advisable to carry out simulations.

From **Figure 2**, the following statements can be derived:

- For the cooling time per cavity, it is unimportant whether overmolding is performed on one or both sides. In both cases the cooling time is reduced to the same degree as the number of layers increases.
- Overmolding on one side requires a larger number of cavities, and therefore more space in the mold, compared to overmolding on both sides.
- Productivity is a suitable key indicator for an economic comparison, because it includes the assumption of equal numbers of cavities.
- It is only with a large number of layers that overmolding on one side improves productivity to a significant extent. However, the productivity actually obtainable is affected by the times for mold opening, mold closing, mold transfer/rotation and injection.
- For overmolding a preform on both sides, on the other hand, the productivity increases significantly starting with a three layer structure.

The sandwich option, consequently, has an advantage above overmolding on one side. This alternative also improves the contour accuracy, since sink marks in the preform due to shrinkage can be compensated by overmolding. Shrinkage of the thinner outer layers is thus responsible for the contour accuracy. This effect is also present for overmolding on one side, but of course only on one side. The quality of the other surface must therefore meet requirements immediately after molding, since it does not contain a corrective top layer.

Where there is light, there is also shadow: despite all the advantages of the sandwich alternative, it must not be overlooked that it requires a more complex mold technology. Retaining the preform in the cavity and transportation from one cavity to another is challenging, while a rotary table is sufficient for one-sided overmolding. The simultaneous filling of the outer layers should be well balanced — pressure differences between the top and bottom layers can cause the preform to fracture.

Both methods can offer further benefits. Cold-runner sprues or thin-walled exterior regions limit the possible maximum holding pressure time. With very thick-walled parts, the sink marks can thus only be counteracted by increasing the holding pressure. This in turn requires machines with relatively high clamping forces. Extreme wall-thickness ratios can, in some cases, only be achieved through multilayer molding. The result is a gain in design freedom.

With the cycle time, the residence time of the material in the barrel and hot runner also decreases. That has the benefit of reduced yellowing and therefore greater transmission. The maximum residence times recommended by the material manufacturers can be maintained. The Title figure shows a series application of the three-layer sandwich process, production of LED headlamps at Automotive Lighting Reutlingen GmbH in Germany.

#### Shorter Cycle Times thanks to Longer Cooling Times

It has been explained above and it can be seen in the box how multilayer molding can increase the profitability of the production of thick-walled parts. It takes advantage of the fact that several thin layers will cool more rapidly than one thick layer. This can increase productivity by approximately a factor of two. However, the resulting cooling times of several minutes are still comparatively long for injection molding.

Considerations about the layer distribution generally assumed that, with a three-layer sandwich structure, the preform and the top layers must be cooled to below the glass transition temperature at the end of the cooling time. However, tests have shown that the preform can be removed much earlier. It must only be ensured that its solidified outer layers are sufficiently strong to withstand the internal pressure and pre-

vent deformation during demolding. If the preform is immediately overmolded in the next station, no cycle time reduction would be gained, on the contrary: the still-hot inner regions of the preform would be further distanced from the mold wall and the cooling time would be extended.

A new process therefore includes a cooling stage outside the mold between the injection shots. Cooling in air does take longer than in the mold, but does not influence the cycle time. Depending on the duration of the external cooling, the preform can have a lower average temperature during overmolding than a preform in conventional sandwich technology. As a result, the preform absorbs more heat from the top layers and thereby reduces the cooling time. This effect can be further increased by making the preform thicker and the top layers thinner.

#### **Process Sequence with External Cooling**

The new process sequence is as follows: A preform for some cycles externally cooled to a predefined temperature is inserted into the mold again and overmolded. A new preform is then produced simultaneously or sequentially depending on the number of injection units. After the opening of the mold, a finished part and a preform are removed and a preform that has previously been intermediately cooled is inserted again. The removed preform is deposited at a cooling station (**see Figure 3**).



**Figure 3:** Time sequence of the process steps for conventional three-layer sandwich molding (top) and the variant with external intermediate cooling (bottom). The diagram shows schematically how the different temperature at demolding of the preform (Tg: glass transition temperature) and the different layer distribution significantly shorten the overall cooling time. Figure courtesy of ENGEL.



**Figure 4:** For a process comparison, a cuboidal polycarbonate part ( $40 \times 38 \times 20$  mm) was used. Figure courtesy of Bayer MaterialScience.

To put a figure on the cycle time reduction gained by cooling outside the mold, Bayer MaterialScience AG, Leverkusen, Germany, performed thermal simulations for a 20 mm-thick cuboidal part of polycarbonate (PC) (see Figure 4). The specialists compared a one-layer process, a three-layer sandwich process and a three-layer sandwich process with external intermediate cooling. The layer thickness distribution was adapted to the process. In the three-layer sandwich process, the 4mm thick top layers required the same cooling time as the preform with 12 mm thickness. In a variant with external intermediate cooling, the preform was assumed to have a thickness of 12.8 mm, and the top layers of 3.6 mm. Figure 5 shows the maximum temperature within



**Figure 5:** Simulated maximum temperature within the part in dependence of time for a single-layer process (top), a three-layer sandwich process (center) and the new three-layer sandwich process with external intermediate cooling (melt temperature 280 °C, mold temperature outer layers and single-layer variant: 120 °C, mold temperature inner layers: 70 °C). The graphics also include the temperature distribution in the part interior after 164 s. Figure courtesy of Bayer MaterialScience.



**Figure 6:** Simulated cooling time per mold station and the calculated productivity for different manufacturing processes (material: PC). The single-layer part is used as a reference (100 %) for comparison. Figure courtesy of ENGEL.

the part in dependence of time. Whereas with the one-layer alternative, the highest temperature is always found in the core of the part, the location of the maximum changes for the two multilayer processes.

The criterion for calculating the cooling time of the end part is: all regions of the part must be cooled to below the glass transition temperature of 150°C. In the alternative with external intermediate cooling, the preform is removed at a time when a 2.4 mm-thick, solidified outer layer has formed, but the core temperature is still 220 °C. With this new process the total cooling time in the mold can be cut in half. Since the number of required cavities is the same compared to the known sandwich process, the productivity increases by the same factor at which the cooling time decreases.

The rapid solidification rate of polycarbonate is beneficial for short cycle times. Simulations for polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) with adjusted melt and mold temperatures generated a total cooling time that, at 314 s, was almost twice as long. **Figure 6** compares the cycle times and productivity of the three processes for the processing of polycarbonate.



SPE Injection Molding Division

#### **Record Cycle Time**

Tests and simulations demonstrate that, for the manufacture of thick-walled parts with external intermediate cooling, the cooling time in the mold can be reduced by 25 to 50 % compared to conventional multilayer molding — depending on the geometry of the part. At K2013, the injection molding manufacturer ENGEL – together with its project partners Bayer MaterialScience and the Krallmann Group –demonstrated the potential of this process live for the first time. The production of an optical lens from PC (type: Makrolon LED 2245) in record time was demonstrated.

#### References

- 1 Pillwein, G.: Maschinen- und Prozesstechnik zur Herstellung optischer Bauteile. IKV Seminar: Spritzgießen hochwertiger optischer Komponenten, Aachen 2008
- 2 Zöllner, O.: Kunststoffoptiken im Mehrschichtspritzguss. Dissertation, University of Erlangen-Nürnberg 2012
- 3 Klinkenberg, C.: Clear benefits of multi-layer. Injection World, Edition October 2012

#### **The Authors**

Christian Maier M.Sc., project head in the process technology development department, ENGEL AUSTRIA GmbH, Schwertberg, Austria; <u>christian.maier@engel.at</u>

Dipl.-Ing. Josef Giessauf, head in the process technology development department, ENGEL AUSTRIA; josef.giessauf@engel.at

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Georg Steinbichler, Senior Vice President of Development Technologies, ENGEL AUSRIA, and director of the Institute of Polymer-Injection Molding Technology and Process Automation at Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria; <u>georg.steinbichler@engel.at</u>

#### Cycle Time and Productivity – Considerations on the Layer Structure

What layer sequence is most appropriate, how many layers are necessary and what savings potential can be expected? These questions can be answered with a few considerations that apply to one-sided and two-sided overmolding, but not to the bonding of two preforms (**Figure 1**). Since the heat removal from the connecting layers follows relatively complex laws, this alternative will not be considered.

First, two assumptions are made: Firstly, known mold concepts (index plate, rotary table, sliding table) are used. Ideally, a further preform is manufactured simultaneously with the overmolding of one preform. From this the requirement, known from multicomponent injection molding, follows that the cooling times of all layers must be the same.

Second, it should be noted that only the layer manufactured first is cooled on both sides (**layer 1 in Figure 1**). The following layers only have contact with the mold wall on one side, while the preform borders on the other side, which, for the sake of simplicity, is regarded as an ideal insulator. To obtain the same cooling time in all stations, the following layers, which are cooled on one side, should be only half as thick as the first layer, which is cooled on both sides.

On the assumption that the first layer of a part produced from n layers is only half as thick as all the following layers, the layer thicknesses  $s_e$  of the first layer and  $s_f$  of the following layers are as below, where  $s_{total}$  describes the total thickness of the part:

$$s_{e}(n) = \frac{2}{n+1} \cdot s_{ges}$$
(1)
$$s_{e}(n) = \frac{2}{n+1} \cdot s_{ges}$$
(2)

The cooling time is proportional to the square of the wall thickness. The cooling time  $t_e(n)$  of the first layer (which is cooled on both sides), corresponding to the cooling time  $t_f(n)$  of all further layers (which are cooled on one side) is described by the following equation:

$$t(n) := t_e(n) = t_f(n) \propto \left(\frac{2}{n+1}\right)^2 s_{ges}^2$$
(3)

During overmolding on one side, n stations in the mold are necessary, i.e. as many stations as layers. In the sandwich variant, in which two layers lying one behind the other are produced simultaneously as in a stacked mold, only (n+1)/2 stations are necessary. In this case, the number of layers n must be odd.

Overmolding on two sides, compared to on one side, does not at first offer a cooling time reduction, but provides the advantage of the stack mold, i.e. a saving of platen area and clamping force.

The relative cooling time per mold station, i.e. the cooling time compared to that of a single-layer part, is:

$$\frac{t(n)}{t(1)} = \left(\frac{2}{n+1}\right)^2 \tag{4}$$

The number of parts T(n) per unit time corresponds to the reciprocal of the time per part, i.e. the reciprocal of the cycle time. Instead of the cycle time, the cooling time is used here, which is permissible to a first approximation for very thick-walled parts. From the reciprocal of equation 4, the relative number of parts is thus obtained:

$$\frac{T(n)}{T(1)} = \frac{t(1)}{t(n)} = \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$$
(5)

However, the cooling time or number of parts are only conditionally suitable as values for an efficiency comparison. These values do not take into account the fact that multilayer processes require a larger number of cavities. However, increased efficiency can be expected from larger numbers of cavities anyway. If with singlelayer processes, for example, twice the number of cavities is available, the number of parts per unit time would also be twice as high.

The productivity is therefore used for the further assessment. It is defined as the ratio between the produced parts and the production factors necessary for this, in this case the cavities. To obtain the relative productivity of multilayer molding compared to the single-layer method, equation 5 only needs to be divided by the number of cavities — i.e. by n in the case of overmolding on one side and by (n+1)/2 in the case of overmolding on both sides:

$$\frac{P(n)}{P(1)} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$$
... for overmolding on one side (6)

$$\frac{P(n)}{P(1)} = \frac{n+1}{2}$$

... for overmolding on both sides (7)



### Quench Your Thirst for Knowledge with our NEW Website!



#### SOCIETY OF

- EVENTS
- MEMBERSHIP
- LEADERSHIP
- RESOURCES
- S& COMMUNITIES
- O SEARCH

JOIN

#### Quench yo knowled

Join SPE, the only society in the Plastics Industry that's active in 84 countries and supplies members with information on each part of the supply chain including resins via design, molds, converting technologies and the final product!

#### **Upcoming Events**

News

#### Technical Resources

Member Login

SPF

FOAMS@ 2014 September 8 - 11, 2014 12th International Conference on Foam Materials & Technology Tutorial (Sept. 8-9)

111

#### Amine Catalyst-Free PU System Reduces **Cockpit Odor**

0 0

What's claimed to be the first viable amine ne (PU) foam

ve interior nched by Dow

#### Toughening Epoxy with Liquid and Preformed Powdered Rubber Phase-separation-formed submicron liquid

#### Injection Molding



#### News



	Latest Technico
-	Resources
An one first states	Antipassing Space of and Factor and Passing Manufacture (California)
Printerson (m.	Presi in 1922. A lastitute Descriptionesi Ingunesi
111	Thereinpelies of the state

# ntiv Arbited John

### 4spe.org

rubber and preformed powdered nanoscale rubber fillers balance the mechanical and thermal properties of epoxy resin nanocomposites

#### **10 Tips For Good Mold Design**

Paul Kuklych Injection Mold Design Engineer

.....

### **10 Tips For Good Mold Design**

#### 1. Thoroughly understand mold building and injection molding processing.

Nothing beats hands on experience. Good injection mold design will reflect practical mold building techniques and be capable of producing quality parts for the life of the mold (**see Figure 1**).

#### 2. Understand the customers' requirements.

Use suitable materials for expected production volume. Design for quick setup and easy maintenance. Ensure mold tool correctly interfaces with specified moulding machines. Also make sure cooling system will produce quality parts at the quoted cooling time.

#### 3. Use as few components as possible.

Fewer components means less cumulative error in the mold which translates into longer mold life and better quality parts. Fewer components will make a stronger mold so add strength by changing size and shape of components not by adding more of them.



#### **10 Tips For Good Mold Design Continued**

#### 4. Design for surface grinding where possible.

Surface grinding will produce the flattest and most parallel surfaces possible in mold building and it's easy to do. Having matching shut-off surfaces is one of the most important criteria for making good quality parts.

#### 5. Design for strength.

A mold needs strength to resist high clamp forces & injection pressures inside the mold cavity. Adequate strength means correct plate thicknesses, proper interlocking method between fixed and moving sides, correct cavity size and use of suitable materials. A weak mold will produce reject parts since the processing window will be very narrow.

#### 6. Gate location (single gate).

Things to take into consideration when determining a gate location:

Wall thickness - the first location to consider is the thickest wall in the part. If for some reason this is not possible then go for the next thickest wall. Gates can be located in the thinnest wall of a part however, this is highly risky and could cause part quality problems especially if there are large differences in wall thickness across the part.

Flow path - the wrong flow path will produce weld lines which makes the part weaker and detracts from its appearance. Use flow simulation software to eliminate or minimize this issue in complicated 3D part shapes.

Flow length - the distance from the gate to the outer most edge of the part must be kept within limits. Plastic manufacturers have this data.



SPE Injection Molding Division

#### **10 Tips For Good Mold Design Continued**

#### 7. Modify part design to enhance mold manufacture.

Review part design to help make mold building easier. However, do not sacrifice mold strength in the process.

#### 8. Learn from mistakes.

The only way to get the perfect design is to understand the faults in previous mold designs and avoid them in the future. Get feedback from injection molder.

#### 9. Experiment.

Experiment with cooling design, ejection method, mold size and materials. The goal is to minimize mold building costs while satisfying the needs of the injection molder.

#### 10. Choose the right mold design engineer

When hiring a mold designer, choose a specialist. This will minimize quality and productivity issues as the designer understands how to avoid problems associated with the particular type of mold tooling. If you want to produce packaging products then hire a designer who specializes in packaging and not automotive since they both have their own unique traps.



#### **About the Author**

**Paul Kuklych** has worked in various roles in the toolmaking and plastic injection molding industry. during the past 22 years. He started with machining and toolmaking then progressed to mold trial qualification. He now spends his time specializing in mold design for thin wall packaging and doing troubleshooting work. Owner and author of <u>www.improve-your-injection-molding.com</u>, Paul can be reached at +61 3 95211911 or e-mail <u>paul@improve-your-injection-molding.com</u>

To read about his mold design services for packaging products go to: <u>http://www.improve-your-injection-molding.com/mold-design-services.html</u>

Improve Your Injection Molding Ltd 604 St Kilda Road Melbourne 3004, Australia. Office Phone +61 3 95211911 **IMD Best Paper** 

Jonathan Mitchell<sup>1, 23</sup>, Luc Vandeperre<sup>2</sup>, Rob Dvorak<sup>3</sup>, Ed Kosior<sup>3</sup>, Karnik Tarverdi<sup>4</sup>, Christopher Cheeseman<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, <sup>2</sup>Department of Materials, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK <sup>3</sup>Nextek Ltd, 107-111 Fleet Street London EC4A 2AB <sup>4</sup>Wolfson Centre for Materials Processing, Brunel University, London, UB8 3PH

### Paper Plastic Composites From Recycled Disposable Cups

The majority of disposable cups are made from paper plastic laminates (PPL) which consist of high quality cellulose fibre with a thin internal polyethylene coating. There are limited recycling options for PPLs which has contributed to disposable cups becoming a high profile, problematic waste. In this work disposable cups have been shredded to form PPL flakes and these have been used to reinforce polypropylene to form novel paper plastic composites (PPCs). Samples were characterized using mechanical analysis and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The work demonstrates that PPL disposable cups have potential to be beneficially reused as reinforcement in novel polypropylene composites.

#### Introduction

Disposable cups used for serving coffee, tea and other drinks at well-known retail outlets contain high quality virgin cellulose fibre board combined with a thin internal polyethylene (PE) coating. These types of paper plastic laminates (PPLs) are increasingly used in many disposable products and it is estimated that the leading coffee chains in the UK use approximately 500 million disposable cups each year. The strong bond between cellulose fibre board and the polyethylene coating make disposable cups and other types of PPLs difficult to recycle. With each cup typically weighing approximately 12.5g the total mass of waste disposable cups from leading coffee



chains alone in the UK is 6,250 tonnes, with the vast majority being disposed of to landfill or via combustion in energy from waste facilities. <sup>1,2</sup>

Waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) is rapidly increasing worldwide<sup>3</sup>. It is estimated that 8.3-9.1 million tonnes of WEEE are currently generated annually in the EU, which equates to approximately 17 kg per capita<sup>4</sup>. WEEE typically contains between 10 and 30 wt.% of engineering plastics with polypropylene (PP) as the major fraction. PP extracted from WEEE has various uses but may not have ideal mechanical properties for some potentially high volume reuse applications such as pallets where higher strength and stiffness is required<sup>5</sup>. One possible way of reusing waste disposable cups and developing new applications for PP from WEEE is to produce PPL reinforced PP composites. Similar PP composites have been investigated using cellulose fibres from a range of natural materials including wood fibre, flax and hemp<sup>6-8</sup>. In addition to pristine cellulose fibre the use of waste materials such as wood pulp, newspapers and vegetable fibres from agricultural residues has been reported<sup>9-11</sup>. The production of composites has also been investigated as a way to recycle waste plastics, in which case the mixed nature of waste plastics can be a challenge<sup>12</sup>. A key finding from previous research is that the different chemical nature of cellulose fibres and polymer matrices means that the interfacial strength tends to be poor unless use is made of a coupling agent. For coupling cellulose to polypropylene, maleic anhydride grafted polypropylene is reported to be highly effective.<sup>13, 14</sup>

The aim of this research was to develop novel polypropylene composites containing PPL derived from disposable cups. The properties of WEEE polypropylene reinforced with PPL flakes have not previously been reported. A 2-stage extrusion and injection moulding process was used and the composites formed have been characterized by tensile testing (tensile strength, Young's modulus) and dynamic mechanical testing (storage modulus and loss modulus) because composites can be subjected to dynamic stresses during use.<sup>15</sup> Cellulose fibre stability during high temperature processing has also been examined using thermogravimetric analysis.

#### **Materials**

Disposable paper plastic laminate cups consisting of high quality cellulose fibres with a polyethylene coating were obtained from a major UK supplier (Solo Cup, Europe). PP extracted from WEEE (Blue Sky Plastic Recycling) was used in all mixes. This had a melt flow rate (MFR) of 3.2g/10 min at 190°C and 7.6g/10 min at 230°C and was supplied in pellet form.

Maleic anhydride grafted polypropylene (MA-g-PP) was used as the coupling agent (MAPP AC- 907P, Honeywell) [16-18]. This had a saponification value (SAP) of 87 mg KOH/g, density 0.93 g/cm3 and a free maleic anhydride content of less than 0.25%. MAPP AC-907P was selected because of the low amount of free maleic anhydride and high SAP value. A higher percentage of bound SAP indicates more coupling points are available because the maleic anhydride has successfully grafted to PP chains to produce MA-g-PP.

#### **Manufacturing Process**

The disposable cups were initially shredded using a Zerma GSL slow speed granulator with a 3mm screen to produce approximately 3mm diameter PPL flakes. Batches of polypropylene pellets, paper plastic laminate flakes and coupling agent were mixed for 3 minutes to form a uniform feed mix (Table 1). Samples were extruded using a co-rotating twin screw extruder (Lab Tech Scientific) with an L/D ratio of 40:1, with barrel

temperatures varying from 160 to 180°C between the feeding zone and the die head. Tensile test specimens were formed by injection moulding (DEMAG D 150 NC 111-K) the pellets using cylinder temperatures between 175-180°C.

#### Table 1:

Systematic variation in mix design of samples to investigate the addition of paper plastic laminate (PPL) flakes derived from disposable cups and coupling agent to polypropylene (PP).

Experiment	Polypropylene <sup>1</sup> wt.%	Disposable cup <sup>2</sup> wt.%	Coupling agen <sup>t3</sup> wt.%
Addition of PPL flakes to PP	100	0	0
	90	10	0
	80	20	0
	70	30	0
	60	40	0
Addition of PPL with	88	10	2
coupling agent	78	20	2
	68	30	2
	58	40	2
Increasing coupling agent	69	30	1
at 30% PPL	68	30	2
	67	30	3
	66	30	4
Increasing coupling	59	40	1
agent at 40% PPL	58	40	2
	57	40	3
	56	40	4

<sup>1</sup>Polypropylene extracted from WEEE (Blue Sky Plastic Recycling)

<sup>2</sup>Disposable paper plastic laminate (PPL) cups

<sup>3</sup>Maleic anhydride grafted polypropylene (MAPP AC-907P, Honeywell)

#### **Property Characterisation**

Tensile testing was carried out on a 10 kN Zwick test frame with a cross-head speed of 10 mm min-1. The tensile modulus was determined using a cross-head speed of 1 mm.min-1 using a clipon extensometer (BS EN ISO 527-2/1A/1 and BS EN ISO 527-2/1A/5, Plastics: Determination of Tensile Properties). Five samples were tested for each mix and the average and standard deviation determined. The fracture surfaces of selected tensile test samples were gold coated (Emitech K550) prior to examination using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Jeol JSM-5610LV). Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA, TA Instruments Q800) was used to determine the storage modulus (E') and loss modulus (E'') of different composite samples over a range of temperatures.<sup>15, 19</sup> Single cantilever beam samples were heated at 3°C per minute from -50°C to 180°C. The frequency of oscillation was fixed at 1Hz and the strain amplitude was kept within the linear viscoelastic region at 0.1%. In order to investigate cellulose fibre stability during Property Characterisation Tensile testing

was carried out on a 10 kN Zwick test frame with a cross-head speed of 10 mm min-1. The tensile modulus was determined using a cross-head speed of 1 mm.min-1 using a clipon extensometer (BS EN ISO 527-2/1A/1 and BS EN ISO 527-2/1A/5, Plastics: Determination of Tensile Properties). Five samples were tested for each mix and the average and standard deviation determined. The fracture surfaces of selected tensile test samples were gold coated (Emitech K550) prior to examination using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Jeol JSM-5610LV). Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA, TA Instruments Q800) was used to determine the storage modulus (E') and loss modulus (E'') of different composite samples over a range of temperatures [15, 19]. Single cantilever beam samples were heated at 3°C per minute from -50°C to 180°C. The frequency of oscillation was fixed at 1Hz and the strain amplitude was kept within the linear viscoelastic region at 0.1%. In order to investigate cellulose fibre stability during processing, TGA was used to determine the weight change of composite samples (Scientific PL-STA 1500 S/N 11293), with tests completed under a nitrogen atmosphere on 15 to 20 mg samples. Isothermal experiments were also conducted using 15-20 mg samples held at 180°C for 20 minutes to investigate the effect of residence time at temperature in the extruder.

#### Results

#### **Mechanical data**

The variation in tensile strength and Young's modulus for composites containing increasing additions of PPL flakes with and without 2 wt.% coupling agent is shown in **Figure 1**. Greater PPL flake additions increase the Young's modulus of the composite irrespective of the presence of the coupling agent. Without a coupling agent, addition of PPL flakes does not reduce the tensile strength even when 40 vol.% of the polymer is replaced. However, with addition of coupling agent the strength is significantly increased. The effect of increasing the coupling agent addition on the mechanical properties of the 40 wt.% PPL flakes composite is shown in **Figure 2**. Increasing the amount of coupling agent increases the tensile strength and Young's modulus for additions up to 3 wt.%. The optimum addition is 3 wt.% for tensile strength and 3wt.% for Young's modulus. Similar results were obtained for composites containing 30 wt.% PPL flakes.





Figure 1: Variation in mechanical properties for composites containing increasing additions of PPL flakes with and without 2wt.% MAPP coupling agent: a) tensile strength, b) Young's modulus.



Figure 2: Variation in mechanical properties for composites containing 40 wt.% PPL flakes with increasing additions of MAPP coupling agent. Similar behaviour was observed for the samples containing 30% PPL flakes.

The stress-strain curves obtained for the different types of composite samples tested in this work are shown in **Figure 3.** The unreinforced polypropylene exhibits high toughness but requires relatively low stress to nduce permanent strain. The composites containing PPL flakes without the coupling agent have a higher modulus than the unmodified PP however they have reduced toughness due to the change in the mechanism of failure from ductile to brittle and the much lower strain at failure. The failure stress is similar to the yield stress of the unmodified PP. The samples containing PPL flakes and the optimised addition of coupling agent show increased failure strength, typically by ~50% over both of the other materials, and significantly increased elastic modulus as well as a higher strain to brittle failure. The toughness of the modified PPC is considerably increased by approximately fivefold compared to the PPL addition without coupling agent.

Figure 3:

A comparison of the engineering stress vs. engineering strain curves for polypropylene, and composites containing 30wt.% disposable cup with and without 4wt.% coupling agent. The WEEE PP is only shown to a strain value of 0.2 to show the effect of the PPL and coupling agent more clearly.



#### **Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA)**

The variation in storage modulus (E') with temperature for composites containing different additions of PPL flakes is shown in **Figure 4**. The storage modulus decreases with increasing temperature and increases with the percentage addition of PPL flakes over the temperature range investigated. These results agree with the rule of mixtures model shown in **Figure 5a**. The Young's modulus values of the PPCs are very close to the axial stiffness predicted with the rule of mixtures. This is somewhat surprising since the shape of the filler is much more like a flake than a long fibre. Therefore particulate models including Eshleby and the shear lag model were applied. Changing the aspect ratio has a huge effect on the predicted stiffness values, but the PPC experimental data is in good agreement with Eshleby and the modified shear lag model with higher filler aspect ratios. As shown in **Figures 5b and c**, the aspect ratios need only be greater than 3 for the PPCs experimental data to agree with Eshleby and modified shear lag models. Loss modulus (E'') data is included in

#### Figure 4: Storage modulus vs. temperature for samples containing between 0 and 40wt.% PPL flakes.





Figure 5: PPCs in agreement with a) Rule of Mixtures

**Figure 6** and this shows two peaks. E" also increases for composite mix designs containing higher percentages of PPL flakes. The first peak occurs between 0 and 10°C and corresponds to the glass transition temperature of the matrix polypropylene. The second peak, found between 60°C and 75°C, is the  $\alpha$ -transition associated with relaxation of the crystalline phase present in semicrystalline polypropylene [15]. With further increases in temperature the polymer chains become more mobile and the matrix changes from a brittle to a tough material. Further heating causes a large reduction in viscosity as the melting point of the polymer is approached, and this causes further reductions in E' and E".



Figure 6: Loss modulus vs. temperature for sample containing between 0-40wt.% PPL flakes

#### **Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)**

TGA analysis was used to investigate how the PPCs behave in the range of temperatures used during processing. The temperature of the cylinders in the extruder and moulding machine did not exceed 180°C during processing. This is governed by the melting temperature of the PP matrix material. A sufficiently



Voh

c) Eshleby models at higher aspect ratios.

me Fraction (V)

low viscosity is required for the composite material containing PPL flakes to flow at this temperature and be driven through the injection moulding die. The weight loss data for composites containing up to 40 wt.% PPL flakes when heated between 10 and 500°C at 20°C/min is shown in **Figure 7**. This shows that the degradation temperature of the composite is significantly higher than the processing temperatures used. Isothermal experiments at 180°C for 20 minutes showed no significant weight loss. The PPL curve in Figure 7 shows that PPL loses weight between 50 and 100°C. This can be attributed to water loss. The PP does not change weight over this period. With the addition of PPL to the polymer matrix, any weight loss up to this temperature is due to PPL water loss. Figure 7 also highlights that PPL is stable up to 250°C before degradation starts to occur. The PP resin is stable to approximately 400°C. Therefore it is presumed that any weight loss at temperatures less than 250°C is due to water loss associated with PPL in PP. This is shown in Figure 8 where the weight loss is in proportion with the volume fraction of PPL in PP. The weight loss in the region between 250°C and 400°C is due to decomposition of the PPC.



Figure 7: Weight loss against temperature for composites containing up to 40 wt.% PPL flakes heated between 10 and 500 °C at 20 °C.min-1.



Figure 8: Percentage weight loss as a function of volume fraction of PPL in WEEE PP up to 250°C and 400°C.

#### Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

**Figure 9** shows SEM images of the fracture surfaces of PPC samples containing approximately 30 wt.% PPL flakes. The surface of samples that do not contain coupling agent, as in Figure 9a, tend to show PPL flake pullout, whereas the sample containing 2 wt.% of the MA-g-PP coupling agent (Figure 9b) show fractured PPL flakes that tend to remain firmly attached to the surrounding polymer matrix.

#### Discussion

PP composites containing PPL flakes are discontinuous composites which generally have lower elastic modulus and are weaker than continuous fibre composites due to the random orientation and discontinuous nature of the reinforcement.<sup>19</sup> Discontinuous composites are much easier to prepare and in these experiments the reinforcement is added as PPL flakes derived from disposable paper cups. It was found that the manufacturing process could incorporate a least 40 wt.% of 3 mm diameter PPL flakes in the PP matrix. Higher additions caused PPL flakes to bridge across the feed throat of the extruder, inhibiting processing. With improved processing facilities it may be possible to extrude mixes with higher percentages of PPL flakes. Different failure mechanisms occur for the PP and the PPCs. The PP experiences orientation and crystallization effects. Deformation of the PP at the yield stress creates orientation of the polymer phases that become stronger than the surrounding area causing strain hardening. The PPC experiences a de-bonding mechanism due to the relatively inextensible behaviour of the paper fibres. Without coupling agent, the PPL flakes de-bond at low strains due to a weak the PPL/PP matrix interface that limits the mechanical properties.



Figure 9: Fracture surfaces of composite samples (68wt.% PP, 30wt.% PPL) with a) no coupling agent showing flake pull-out and b) 2 wt.% coupling agent (MAPP) showing fractured PPL flake that remains attached to the surrounding polymer matrix.

The stiffness at lower strains increases relative to the amount of PPL added. The toughness as given by the area under the stress strain curve in Figure 3 is significantly different to the PP due to brittle failure caused by the de-bonding of the PPL/PP interface. The PPL flakes impart significant structural changes to the plastic by restricting the flow of the polymer chains when stress is applied, reducing ductile behaviour. Increasing the volume fraction of flakes further restricts the polymer chains and reduces the strain at failure.

Maleated coupling agents were used to bond maleic anhydride to the hydroxyl groups of lignocellulosic fibres in the PPL flakes by covalent ester bonds. The use of these has previously been reported in cellulose/ PP composites. <sup>13-14, 16-18</sup> The PP grafted to the maleic anhydride interlocks and co-crystallises with the PP matrix, creating a stronger bond between the PPL flakes and PP matrix. This significantly enhances interfacial bonding and avoids premature de-bonding and failure. With the addition of coupling agents the interfacial strength is higher and increased force is required to break the PP-PPL interface before crack propagation occurs. The strain to failure increases with a significant improvement in toughness compared to the unmodified PPC with toughness values approximately 5 times higher.

The experiments have shown that 3 wt.% addition of coupling agent is optimal (**Figure 2**) at the highest volume fraction within this research. The coupling agent enhances the interfacial bond by co-crystallising with the matrix, while the maleic anhydride also covalently bonds with the polar cellulose in the PPL, creating stress transfer to the paper fibres. At higher loadings the coupling agents may not necessarily increase the strength of the composite if the PPL flakes become the locus of failure as shown in Figure 9b. It appears that at the optimum level of coupling agent the failure mode switches from fibre pull out to fibre failure. The maxima in the curves in Figure 2 can be attributed to the modified PP improving adhesion to the point where the fibres become sufficiently load bearing and reach their specific failure strength. Further additions may increase interfacial adhesion however the failure of the composite will be limited by the nature and strength of the PPL fibres. The strength of the composite is a function of the strain to failure at low addition rates and reaches a plateau associated with paper fibre failure.

The composites made from PPL and PP could be used in many applications where enhanced stiffness is required in moulded or extruded products. They would be particularly useful in thick walled products where working strains can be kept small. Products like pipes, bins and pallets could be potential applications as well as automotive and appliance components that are not seen. Improved properties might be achieved if the clusters of fibres could be dispersed into uniformly wetted fibres and removing potential weaknesses in the inclusions.

#### Conclusions

Disposable paper cups are a significant resource management issue as current recycling options are limited for PPL. They can easily be processed into PPL flakes and these can be used to form plastic composites with enhanced mechanical properties. Up to 40wt.% PPL flakes have been incorporated into a PP matrix to form composites with improved mechanical properties. Processing involved mixing PPL flakes and PP followed by extrusion and injection moulding. Processing temperatures and residence times need to be controlled to avoid degradation of the cellulose fibres in the PPL flakes. The use of a coupling agent is essential to obtain improved properties and in this work a 3 wt.% addition of maleic anhydride grafted polypropylene was found to be the optimum. Fracture surfaces indicate that coupling agent added at critical level results in fracture of PPL flake rather than pull out. The use PPL flakes derived from disposable cups for reinforcing plastics is a resource efficient alternative that may have significant environmental benefits compared to current disposal options.

#### References

- 1. Häkkinen, T., Vares, S., 2010. Environmental impacts of disposable cups with special focus on the effect of material choices and end of life. Journal of Cleaner Production, 18 (14), 1458-1463
- 2. van der Harst, E., Potting, J., 2013. A critical comparison of ten disposable cup LCAs. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 43, 86-96.van der Harst, and Potting, 2013
- 3. Widmer, R., Oswald-Krapf, H., Sinha-Khetriwal, D., Schnellmann, M., Böni, H. 2005. Global perspectives on e-waste. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 25, 436-458.
- 4. Martinho, G., Pires, A., Saraiva, L., Ribeiro, R., 2012. Composition of plastics from waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) by direct sampling. Waste Management, 32 (6), 1213-1217.
- 5. Soury, E., Behravesh, E.H., Rouhani Esfahani, E., Zolfaghari, A., 2009. Design, optimization and manufacturing of wood-plastic composite pallet. Materials & Design, 30 (10), 4183-4191.
- 6. Bengtsson, M., Le Baillif, M., Oksman, K., 2007. Extrusion and mechanical properties of highly filled cellulose fibre–polypropylene composites. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, 38 (8), 1922-1931.
- 7. Aranberri-Askargorta, I., Lampke, T., Bismarck, A., 2003. Wetting behaviour of flax fibers as reinforcement for polypropylene. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 263 (2) 580-589.
- 8. Vignon, M.R., Dupeyre, D., Garcia-Jaldon, C., 1996. Morphological characterization of steamexploded hemp fibers and their utilization in polypropylene-based composites. Bioresource Technology, 58 (2) 203-215.
- 9. Thumm, A., Dickson, A.R., 2013. The influence of fibre length and damage on the mechanical performance of polypropylene/ wood pulp composites. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, 46, 45-52.
- 10. Bullions, T.A., Hoffman, D., Gillespie, R.A., Price-O'Brien, J., Loos, A.C., 2006. Contributions of feather fibers and various cellulose fibers to the mechanical properties of polypropylene matrix composites. Composites Science and Technology, 66 (1) 102-114.
- 11. Ardanuy, M., Antunes, Ignacio Velasco, J., 2012. Vegetable fibres from agricultural residues as thermo-mechanical reinforcement in recycled polypropylene-based green foams. Waste Management, 32 (2) 256-263.
- 12. Rajendran, S., Scelsi, L., Hodzic, A., Soutis, C., Al-Maadeed, M.A., 2012. Environmental impact assessment of composites containing recycled plastics. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 60, 131-139.
- 13. Kim, H.S., Lee, B.H., Choi, S.W., Kim, S., Kim, H.J., 2007. The effect of types of maleic anhydridegrafted polypropylene (MAPP) on the interfacial adhesion properties of bio-flour-filled polypropylene composites. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, 38 (6), 1473-1482.
- 14. de la Orden, M.U., González Sánchez, C., González Quesada, M., Martínez Urreaga, J., 2010. Effect of different coupling agents on the browning of cellulose-polypropylene composites during melt processing. Polymer Degradation and Stability, 95 (2), 201-206.
- 15. Tajvidi, M., Falk, R.H., Harmanson, J.C., 2006. Effect of natural fibers on thermal and mechanical properties of natural fiber polypropylene composites studied by dynamic mechanical analysis. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 101 (6), 4341-4349.
- 16. Franco-Marquès, E., Méndez, J.A., Pèlach, M.A., Vilaseca, F., Bayer, J., Mutjé, P., 2011. Influence of coupling agents in the preparation of polypropylene composites reinforced with recycled fibers. Chemical Engineering Journal, 166 (3), 1170-1178.
- 17. Mutjé, P., Vallejos, M.E., Gironès, J., Vilaseca, F., López, A., LóPez, J.P., Méndez, J.A., 2006. Effect of maleated polypropylene as coupling agent for polypropylene composites reinforced with hemp strands, Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 102 (1), 833-840.
- Méndez, J.A., Vilaseca, F., Pèlach, M.A., LóPez, J.P., Barberà, L., Turon, X., Gironès, J., Mutjé, P., 2007. Evaluation of the reinforcing effect of ground wood pulp in the preparation of polypropylene-based composites coupled with maleic anhydride grafted polypropylene, Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 105 (6) 3588–3596.
- 19. Broughton, W.R., Lodeiro, M.J., Pilkington, G.D., 2010. Influence of coupling agents on material behaviour of glass flake reinforced polypropylene. Composites Part A: Applied Science and manufacturing, 41 (4), 506-514.



SPE IM Division is seeking your support. In an effort to enhance the overall content of the SPE Newsletter Molding Views, conferences and web site, we'd like to include valuable peer-written articles, technical papers and sponsorships to our products to share with SPE Members.

A captive audience specifically geared for the injection molding industry can benefit from your expertise, products, equipment or services.

### Articles Technical Papers Webinars Sponsorships

For more information contact: David A. Okonski IMD Chair & Staff Engineer, GM Global R&D Center david.a.okonski@gm.com

Heidi Jensen IMD Newsletter PublisherIMDNewsletter@gmail.com

#### **IMD Board of Directors Meeting**

#### March 22, 2015

#### Orlando, FL

Submitted by Srikanth Pilla

Prior to Board proceedings, David Okonski provided a technical presentation on flow line free PP molding.

#### Welcome

The past chair, Adam Kramschuster, opened the board meeting thanking all for his past year as IMD Chair.

#### **Roll Call**

**Present were:** David Okonski (Chair), Adam Kramschuster, David Kusuma, Hoa Pham, Jack Dispenza, Nick Fountas, Jim Wenskus, Peter Grelle, Jeremy Dworshak, Brad Johnson, Kishor Mehta, Erik Foltz, Raymond McKee and Srikanth Pilla

Teleconference: Tom Turng, Rick Puglielli, and Larry Schmidt

Guests were: Vikram Bhargava

Absent were: Mike Uhrain, Susan Montgomery, Lee Filbert, and Mal Murthy

This constituted quorum.

Adam completed roll call and turned the meeting over to David Okonski, the incoming Chair.

#### Approval of Jan 16, 2015 Meeting Minutes

**Motion:** Hoa moved that the January 16, 2015 meeting minutes be approved, as written and distributed. Motion passed.

#### Financial Report – Jim Wenskus, Treasurer

- Jim presented the financials from July 1, 2014 to February 28, 2015. Balance sheets were shared.
- Jim noted a drop in membership, which will reduce our division's rebate (current membership stands at 10,031).
- Quarterly rebates at this time last year was \$3827 but it is \$2507 this year (more on membership statistics will be provided by Nick Fountas).
- Jim stated there was a drop in payments by newsletter sponsors but ads remain strong, most likely a delay in receivables.
- Our Foundation grant for this year in the amount of \$7500 has been paid; our last payment will be in 2016 in budget numbers, discussion happened whether IMD wants to be a revenue generator or revenue neutral? What is the long-term strategy?
- David O proposed an idea to partner with other divisions and sections to co-organize their events and topcons while also organizing technical sessions, which could help to generate some revenue for the division.
- Adam provided an update on Linky. As agreed the division paid \$2000. The logo of IMD was imprinted on their product.
- Adam also provided an update on IMD's \$250 sponsorship to be spent towards refreshments for the joint panel session that we are co-organizing with Fapsig at ANTEC 2015.
- The budget for fiscal year July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 was also presented.

#### IMD Board of Directors Meeting Continued

#### ANTEC 2015 Report – Ray McKee, TPC, ANTEC 2015

- IMD has 11 sessions. So far we haven't had any speakers informing us that they are not coming but we have heard of 2-3 replacement speakers.
- It was thought to do more advertising of our sessions, keynote speakers, panel discussions, etc., to increase visibility of our technical sessions at ANTEC.
- The IMD reception is Tuesday at 5:30 pm at the Rosins Center. All the moderators were asked to put the slides (during talks) and encourage the session attendees to come to the reception. Also, David O. requested placard boards, which will be set-up at ANTEC/NPE to direct audience towards the reception on Tuesday.
- Jeremy and David did an amazing job with the reception sponsorship. They were able to secure in \$20K. Congratulations.
- Heidi designed a banner for the reception with all the sponsors' logos on it. The sponsorship levels on the banner were distributed by the size but in future it was thought to specifically name them as gold and silver sponsors.

#### **Technical Director's Report – Pete Grelle**

- Pete congratulated Ray for doing an excellent job as TPC.
- There will be an IMD Topcon to be hosted by Penn State Erie in 2016. Also, there is an interest from SPE Upper Midwest for a minitec or Topcon. Pete will contact its President, Shilpa Manjure, to see when this can be arranged i.e. late 2015 or early/late 2016.
- The IMD webinar schedule for this year was presented. A 3-year plan was also proposed. A survey will be sent to gage feedback on the proposed 3-year plan.
- A proposal was also made to see if the tutorial speakers could be future webinar speakers. Matt will followup with the tutorial speakers to gage their interest.

#### Communications – Adam Kramschuster/Rick Puglielli

- Adam indicated that after working together over the past few months, Rick Puglielli has taken over completely as the chair of the communications.
- Following the tradition of the past, Adam proposed to have the newsletter editor attend one event a year, which will be sponsored by IMD. No motion is required but everyone agreed with the proposal.
- In the last meeting, David O requested for a secured location to store files. Adam has presented a dropbox secured server where we can store and share documents.
- There was a proposal to create a secure blog for IMD on the dropbox server, which Adam will present in the fall winter meeting.

#### Membership Update – Nick Fountas

• Nick presented the membership statistics. We have 4,007 members (2/3 from US and rest from Europe, Asia, Middle East, etc.). Our members' list in Europe is still under 200, so we need to increase our efforts to get more memberships. There is a problem to retain student memberships once they graduate but it is a challenge to deal with across SPE.

#### Nominations Committee Update – Hoa Pham

• Jeremy Dworshak, Lee Filber, and Erik Foltz's board memberships were up for voting. Hoa presented the

#### IMD Board of Directors Meeting Continued

voting statistics. Their memberships have been renewed for next 3 years.

- Hoa also presented the feedback on the questions that were posted along with the voting process. Several voters expressed interest to join the board or help with sessions or sponsor an event. Hoa will contact the headquarters to get info on the people who provided these responses and present to the board in the next meeting.
- Srikanth and Rick exchanged the TPC roles. Srikanth will serve in 2017 and Rick in 2018.

#### HSM, Fellow Awards – Tom Turng

- Tom informed that the award plaques were made and will be given to Adam.
- Tom requested the board to provide nominations for Fellow and HSM.

#### IMD Board Structure – David Okonski

• **Committee structure:** David O. has shown the current chairs and co-chairs for all the IMD division committees. After discussion, new co-chairs have been identified and added to the list. The updated lists is:

Committee Name	Chair	Co-Chair
Communications	Rick Pugilielli	Adam Kramschuster
Education	Srikanth Pilla	Jeremy Dwarshok
Membership	Nick Fountas	Erik Foltz
Engineer-of-the-year	Kishor Mehta	Jack Dispenza
Sponsorship	David Okonski	David Kusuma
ANTEC Reception	Rick Pugilielli	David Okonski
HSM and Fellows	Lih-Sheng Turng	Kishor Mehta
Nominations	Hoa Pham	Brad Johnson
Pinnacle Award	Raymond Mckee	David Okonski

- A TPC is not an automatic selection for Chair-elect but has to be voted. As in practice, the chair-elect has to be voted in the Jan. meeting every year.
- Kishor has nominated and moved the motion for Ray to be Chair-elect for 2015-2016. Erik seconded the motion. Motion passed and Ray is elected.
- Since reception committee is currently part of membership committee, to make the former a stand-alone committee we have to make modifications to the by-laws. Kishor will look into it and let us know by next meeting.

#### IMD Outreach – David Okonski

• We have had few miscommunications with SPE headquarters, especially while organizing China Topcon and more recently with the Linky sponsorship. It was decided to have one member from SPE headquarters attend our board meeting for effective communication between IMD and SPE.

#### **IMD Board of Directors Meeting Continued**

• Also, as discussed earlier, it was decided to partner with other divisions and sections and co-organize their events. This will help to reach out to organize more technical sessions but also could a venue for more revenue generation.

#### **New Business/Other Topics – All**

- The Chair, David O, appointed today's guest, Vikram Bhargava, to be a board member of IMD for one year after which he will go up for full nomination.
- Jack Dispenza has a session on Wednesday on 3D printing, so he requested for a volunteer to moderate the Wednesday AM IMD session. Brad Johnson agreed to moderate.

#### **Next Meeting**

David O proposed an in-person fall meeting to be tentatively held in Detroit. All agreed but details will be communicated via email.

#### Adjournment

Motion: Adam moved to adjourn the meeting. Erik seconded. The meeting was adjourned at 12:10 pm EST.

1/3 page \$420

1/4 page \$320

1/10 page \$115



#### Injection Molding Division



#### **Newsletter Sponsorship and Contributor Support**

The SPE Newsletter Molding Views is issued three times a year to over 5,000 members worldwide who are each involved in an aspect of injection molding. These issues are made possible through the support of advertisers and authors shown in each issue. The SPE IM Divisions thanks all companies and individuals for their generosity and time to help produce each issue for all SPE members.

If you would like to be a contributor for informative articles, sponsorship or advertorial, please contact: Issues: Heidi Jensen, Newsletter Publisher Spring • Summer • Fall PublisherIMDNewsletter@gmail.com 908-797-1968 SPONSORSHIP YEARLY RATES: E-mail articles for 1 ISSUE 2 ISSUES 3 ISSUES consideration or for \$1,100 Full \$2,200 \$2,640 more information on 1/2 page \$630 \$1,260 \$1,520

\$1,008

\$768

\$263

\$840

\$640

\$230

rates and advertorials.

#### **IMD Leadership**

#### **DIVISION OFFICERS**

IMD Chair Sponsorship Chair TPC ANTEC 2020 David Okonski General Motors R&D Center david.a.okonski@gm.com

**Treasurer** Jim Wenskus wenskus1@frontier.com

### Secretary, Education Chair and TPC ANTEC 2017

Srikanth Pilla Clemson University spilla@clemson.com

**Technical Director** Peter Grelle Plastics Fundamentals Group, LLC <u>pfgrp@aol.com</u>

**Past Chair** Adam Kramschuster University of Wisconsin-Stout <u>kramschustera@uwstout.edu</u>

Erik Foltz The Madison Group <u>erik@madisongroup.com</u>

**Councilor, 2014 - 2017** Susan E. Montgomery Lubrizol Advanced Materials <u>susan.montgomery@lubrizol.com</u>

#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

TPC ANTEC 2015 Chair Elect Raymond McKee Sonoco Raymond.Mckee@sonoco.com

**TPC ANTEC 2016 Education Committee Chair** Jeremy Dworshak Steinwall Inc. <u>jdworshak@steinwall.com</u>

#### TPC ANTEC 2018 ANTEC Reception Chair Communications Committee Chair Rick Puglielli Promold Plastics rickp@promoldplastics.com

**TPC ANTEC 2019** David Kusuma Tupperware <u>davidkusuma@tupperware.com</u>

Membership Chair Nick Fountas JLI-Boston fountas@jli-boston.com

**Engineer-Of-The-Year Award** Kishor Mehta Plascon Associates, Inc <u>ksmehta100@gmail.com</u> Awards Chair HSM & Fellows Lih-Sheng (Tom) Turng Univ. of Wisconsin — Madison turng@engr.wisc.edu

Assistant Treasurer Nominations Committee Chair Historian Hoa Pham Freudenberg Performance Materials hp0802@live.com

Jack Dispenza jackdispenza@gmail.com

Lee Filbert IQMS Ifilbert@iqms.com

Brad Johnson Penn State Erie bgj1@psu.edu

Michael C. Uhrain IV Sumitomo <u>michael.uhrain@dpg.com</u>

#### **EMERITUS**

Mal Murthy Doss Plastics <u>Dosscor@gmail.com</u>

Larry Schmidt LR Schmidt Associates <u>schmidtlra@aol.com</u>

#### **IMD New Members**

#### The Injection Molding Division welcomes 286 new members...

Ken Adams Artemis Ailianou Josh Alamo Joshua Allor **Mohamed Alsoofi Bruce Anderson** Ivan Aragon Cruz Rhea Arcilla Tom Baines Paul Baird Praveen Kumar Balasubramani Nakia Barclift Jane Barefield **Stephen Bassler** Erin Beaver **Ryan Beck** Jake Behrens **Corie Benton** Andy Beyerl Alex Bialler Craig Birrittella Michael Blake Jeffrey Bobkoski **Miles Bojanic Timothy Bolt** Jason Bonanno Gavin Borchardt **Connor Bourgue Dominique Brelanci Deanna Brewer** Isai Briones Joshua Brown Joseph Bruining **Terrika Bumpass** Chris Butryn Silvestre Cano Will Carpenter Mike Chapman **Edward Chappel** Jeffrey Chattaway Feiwu Chen Ching-Chang Chien

Tyrah Chisholm Sean Chronis Jim Clinton **Michelle Cloutier Gregory** Cole Adam Colton Abdul Coulibaly Phillip Cox Jaguante Crocker Sean Cuevas Roy Cunningham-Oller Jarrett Dawkins Paul Dean Angela DeAngelo Brandon DePass Aram Der Hagopian Jesse Derouin Jeffrey Dininger Sr. Joseph Dolin Joe Donofrio **Rodney Douthat Robert Eden** Kristen Eisiminger **Daniel Elder Dave Ellison Geoffrey Estabrooks Robert Felster** Adam Fermanich Shane Fischer Jeffery Fitch **Brandon Frederick Philip Gabor** Juan Gallardo Mauro Gariboldi **Thomas Garner** Jonathan Garrett **Rick Geddes** Jonathan George James Gibb **Richard Giltz** Stefano Giordano Christopher Gladman

James Goldthorp Ed Gomez **Rickardo Grant Robert Green Christopher Gucik** Harshini Gullapalli **Rajesh Gundimeda** Rajesh Guntupalli Matt Haggard Christian Hampel **Rayman Hannish Kevin Hardy** Joaquin Harris **Ronnie Hartzell** John Hastings Xiang He Alan Hickok Eva Hnatkova Joseph Hoffer Benjamin Hoffmann Alexander Hogan Christopher Holloman II Christian Holz Jun Hyung Hong **Chris Horner Kimberly Huang** Wenzhi Huang **Robert Hudecek** Michael Hughes Garrett Humphrey Hidetsugu Iwasaki **Trevor Jack Desmond Jackson** David Jacob lan James Ehsan Jazaeri Nicholas Jennings **Brettain Johnson Cameron Johnson** NIcholas Johnson Matthew Jones Maurice Jones

**Scooter Jones** Alvaro Juarez Arnold Kagle **Demetrius Kelly Royston Kent** Alexandria Kesek Travis Kiel **Deborah Kirgis** David Knickelbine Harol Koalaglu Harry Koshulsky Matthew Kula Arun Kumar Arthur Kupracz Akshat Ladha Kamal Ladha Sean Leonard Sam Lepley Santineshia Lester Changiin Li Dongpo Lin Dongsheng Liu Zhixue Liu Eric Long Puneet Madan Greg Madru Ajay Mallelil Tim Malloch **Robert Mann Cameron Manuel** Nolan Markham **Korrey Marsh** James Matyniak Chris Max Jeremy Maxey-Vesperman Matthew McDaniel Caroline McDougald Chris McGrady Christopher McKinnon Allan McLean Christopher McLeod Donovan McNatt Christopher McNeil Daniel Meldrum

Ian Menego Jose Eduardo Mercado Kelsev Metzler **Rigel Millan** Joseph Miller **Douglas Miner** Michael Morassi Kenneth Morris Audrev Moselev-Gholl **Michael Mutsakis** Satoshi Nagata Jaime Navarrete-Damian Unmesh Navak **David Nelson** Jason Newman Santiago Ocampo Sanjay Odak Swee Kien Ong Sindhiya Paliniswamy John Papadopulos Alex Parelius Dominique Parrish Jegualyn Parrish **Jarvis Patrick** Jonathan Patz Daniel Peretz **Carle Philippe** Douglas Pickett Andrew Pierce Kenneth Polizzi John Poppe **Duane Potter** Patrick Quiggin Bill Ratzlaff Maron Ravmon Chad Rhen Charles Richter Michael Roberson Ramon Rodriguez-Leon Clark Roper Alberto Rossetto Felix Rozuk Hussein Salim Amir Samad

Daniel Sander **Denvs Sanftleben** Eben Sarver Christian Schafer **Ryan Schenck Robert Schiavone** Ralph Schultz **Devone Scott** Kaan Serpersu Amish Shah Kevin Shamberger James Shortt Idrissa Shwadogo John Sloss Calvin Smith Holly Smith Jarred Smith **Julius Smith** William Smith Joshua Snyder Sejad Spahic Jacob Stoffel Kai Stuebiger John Surprenant Tim Sutter **Eric Swensied** Jonathan Tamil **Tiffany Tang Daniel Taylor** Jordan Taylor Antonio Tena Matthew Theriault Jamie Thomson Kraipop Thongsak Jie En Tit James Toon Jasheka Torrence Melanie Tucker Ned Uzelac Christina Vander Mause Zach VanHuis Sin Vila Laurence Ville Chen Wang

Guodong Wang Jiangqing Wang Ruizhen Wang Shigao Wang Wei Wang Julian Ware Douglas Warnock Austin Watkins Jonathan Wesley Brad White Christopher White Glenn Whitecotton Bradley Whitney Marcellous Williams Zac Williams Brian Wood Mariah Woody Michael Wright Kangsheng Wu Zhenghai Wu Sihai Xie Hua Yan Terence Yan Joshua Yeoman Lei Yuan Yugang Zhang Yunhe Zheng Michelle Zwick

#### ...from 16 countries:

Australia Canada China Czech Republic France Germany India Italy Mexico Singapore South Korea Taiwan

#### Thailand Turkey United Arab Emirates U.S.A.

#### ... representing more than 153 organizations including:

A&O Mold & Engineering Ad Plas IIC Advanced Plastiform Inc. **Advanced Composites** AerosUSA Inc. Ajou U. Aladdin Temp-Rite Alco Plastics Alliance Rubber Co. Allied Oil & Tire Co. Ambrit Engineering Corp. **Amco Polymers Ames Companies** Americhem **Amsted Rail** Anant Extrusions Ltd. Arkidelo Pty Ltd **Automation Plastics** AST Technology UK Ltd. Autodesk **B&C Plastics** Barr Inc.

**Basell Australia** B. Braun Medical Inc. **Badger Meter Bayer MaterialScience Becton Dickinson** Beijing U. of Chemical Technology Bemis Manufacturing Co. **Berry Plastics Bipore Medical Devices, Inc Borouge** Australia **Branson** Braskem America BUCT California State U. - Chico Callaway Golf CalsonicKansei N.A. CamelBak Products LLC **Carlson Tool & Manufacturing** Catco **CE Engineering** Celanese Central Carolina Community College

**CESAT - UPAEP CE-Technologies LLC Chase Plastics Services Inc.** China Synthetic Resin Association Clariant Command Chemical Corp. Comtec IPE Consolidated MetCo Corma Inc. CoreTech System (Moldex3D) Co. Ltd. Cultec Inc. **Custom Service Plastics Davis Applied Technology College Delphi Automotive Systems Diversified Engineering & Plastics Inc.** DME Co. **Dolphin Products** Donnelly Custom Manufacturing Co. **DSM Engineering Plastics Inc.** Eastman Chemical **EG** Industries **Elring Klinger Elgin Molded** EMS-Chemie **EMS-Grivory** Engel Global **Engel Machinery Epson Portland Inc. ETS Evco Plastics Executool Precision Tooling** ExxonMobil Chemical Co. **Eve Hook Fall Protection** Evenflo Co. Inc. Ferris State U. **Generation Four LLC General Motors** Georgia-Pacific LLC **Gilbert Tweed Global Precision Industries Inc.** GM Nameplate.com Harbor Plastics

Hennepin Technical College Hochschule Darmstadt Icon Plastics Pty. Ltd. iD Additives, Inc. Innovative Design International Contract Molding **InterPRO** Instituteof Plastics Processing (IKV-Aachen) Instituto Tecnologico de Celaya Iowa State U. - ABE Dept. **ISPA** ITW Jiangsu Golden Material Tech Co. Ltd. Kao USA Kas Engineering Inc. - Oxnard Div. Kettering U. Kellen King Mongkut's Institute of Tech. Ladkrabang Kongju National U. KOSTAL Kontakt Systeme, Inc. Kraft Foods Group **Kraft Foods Group** Kraiburg TPE Corp. Kuraray America, Inc. Lacks Trim Systems Linear Mold & Engineering LORD Corporation MacDermid Autotype Mallelil Polymers Pvt. Ltd. Marplex Australia Pty. Ltd. Matrix Composites & Engineering McCord Executive Search Medical Device Consumables Medway Plastics Mega Brands MGS Manufacturing Group **Milacron Plastics Machinery LLC** Milliken Chemical Milwaukee Tool MiniFAB Ministry of Environmental Protection of China

Minnesota Rubber & Plastics Mission Plastics Inc. MMI Engineeered Solutions, Inc Monash U. **MRIGIobalPlastics** H. Muehlstein & Co. Nanosyntex, Inc. Nanyang Tech National Plastics Color Neutrex Inc **New Berlin Plastics Nexeo Solutions** Nextool Canada Limited Niagara Bottling Nifco America Corp **NIT Hamirpur** Noble Plastics Inc. North Carolina A&T State U. Nyloncraft Inc. Nypla Industrial Oldcastle **Olsen Tool & Plastics** Omya Inc. Orel Corporation (PVT) Ltd. Osterman & Co. Inc. **Outerspace Design** Oxylane Parker-Hannifin Parmalat Australia Pty. Ltd. Penn State U. – Behrend Penn State Erie Pennsylvania College of Technology Performance Feed Screw Inc. Philips **Pikes Peak Plastics** Plastic Process Equipment Inc. Plastikos Inc. Poly **Polymer Resources Ltd** PolymerWarehouse LLC **PolyOne Distribution** PolyOne GLS Polypacific Pty. Ltd. Pontiac Coil

Power Container Corp. Premier Plastic Resins Inc. PrimaPlas Pty. Ltd. Profile Injection Moulding Pty. Ltd. PTI Inspection Systems Reliable Caps, LLC Reliance Industries Ltd. Repi S.p.A. Rexnord **Ricky Geddes LLC** RGI Rotacaster Wheel Pty. Ltd. RTP Co. S&C Electric Co. Sajar Plastics LLC Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. SCG Performance Chemicals Serigraph Schneider Electric Schoolcraft College Shape Corp. Shintech Shure Inc. Siemens Skullcandy Inc. SRGG StaMixCo LLC Steinwall Scientific STIHL Inc. Stvron LLC Sun Products Corp. Support Plastics USA Sustainable Manufacturing Solutions Techmer PM **Teleflex Medical** The Marketing Store Theranos **Toledo Molding & Die** Tomas Bata U. Transvalor S.A. **Trek Bicycle** UMMC U. Cincinnati U. Mass. Lowell

U. Michigan TMaG U. Mass. - Lowell Underground Devices United Solar Ovonics U. Queensland U. Wisconsin U. Wisconsin - Stout U. Wisconsin - Platteville Vibo S.p.a Visy Walter Pack S.L. Washington Penn Plastic Co. Weili Plastics Machinery (HK) Ltd. Western Washington U. Windsor Mold Group Winona State U. Xiamen U. of Technology YESCO Electronics LLC



### Students.....Young Professionals.....Professionals

Whether you're a plastics scientist or engineer, a business owner, marketing/sales expert, or any other professional in plastics, SPE membership can help you advance your knowledge and your career. The information you need to increase efficiency and productivity, develop your career, and add to your company's bottom line is literally right at your fingertips.

SPE's support of the development of plastics professionals begins at the moment they enter the industry as a student. Undergraduate and graduate students in plastics related fields of study can learn, study and build their professional networks.

#### Foe more information on membership benefits visit 4spe.org

#### **Society of Plastics Engineers**

6 Berkshire Blvd., Suite 306 Bethel, CT 06801-1065 USA

www.4spe.org • membership@4spe.org

Contact Information Please print clearly	Technical Division Member Groups - C professionals in your area of technical int	connect with a global community of erest.
First Name (Given Name) Middle Name	□ Additives & Color Europe - D45 □ Automotive - D31	□ Injection Molding - D23 □ Medical Plastics - D36
Last Name (Family Name)	□ Blow Molding - D30 □ Color & Appearance - D21	☐ Mold Making & Mold Design - D35 ☐ Plastics Environmental - D40
Company Name/University Name (if applicable)	Composites - D39 Decorating & Assembly - D34	Polymer Analysis - D33 Polymer Modifiers & Additives - D38
Mailing Address is:  Home Business Gender: Male Female (for demographic use only)	□ Electrical & Electronic - D24 □ Engineering Properties Structure - D26	□ Product Design & Development - D41 □ Rotational Molding - D42
Address Line 1	European Medical Polymers - D46 European Thermoforming - D43	□ Thermoforming - D25 □ Thermoplastic Materials & Foams - D29
Address Line 2	□ Extrusion - D22 □ Flexible Packaging - D44	□ Thermoset - D28 □ Vinyl Plastics - D27
Address Line 3		
	Geographic Section Member Groups -	Network with local industry colleagues.
City State/Province	□ Alabama/Georgia-Southern	New York New York New York
Country Zip/Postal Code Phone		North Carolina-Piedmont Coastal
		□ Ohio-Akron
Preferred Email (This will be your member login and is required for usage of online member services)	California-Golden Gate	□ Ohio-Cleveland
	California-Southern California	🗆 Ohio-Miami Valley
Alternate Email	🗆 Caribbean	🗆 Ohio-Toledo
	Carolinas	Oklahoma
Date of Birth (Required for Young Professional membership)	Central Europe	Ontario
	Colorado-Rocky Mountain	Oregon-Columbia River
Graduation Date (Required for Student membership) Job Title		Pennsylvania-Lehigh Valley
······································	Eastern New England	Pennsylvania-Northwestern Pennsylvania
Mombarshin Typos Charkens	Florida-Cental Florida	Pennsylvania-Philadelphia
membership types check one		
Student: \$31 (Graduation date is required above)		
Young Professional: \$99 (Professionals under the age of 30. Date of birth is required above)		
Professional: \$144.00 \$129 (Includes \$15 new member initiation fee)		
Choose 2 free Technical Division and/or Geographic Section Member Groups.	Li Indiana Contral Indiana	
1 2		
1 Z		Tennessee-Smoky Mountain
Additional groups may be added for \$10 each. Add Special interest Groups at no charge.		
1 2		
3 4	□ Kansas City	Texas-I ower Rio Grande Valley
Dues include a 1-year subscription to Plastics Engineering magazine-\$38 value (non-deductible).	□ Korea	□ Texas-North Texas
SPE membership is valid for 12 months from the date your membership is processed.	Louisiana-Gulf South Central	□ Texas-South Texas
	Maryland-Baltimore-Washington	□ Tri-State
Payment Information Payment must accompany application. No purchase orders accepted.	Mass/New Hampshire-Pioneer Valley	□ Turkey
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mexico-Centro	United Kingdom & Ireland
Check Enclosed Amount	□ Michigan-Detroit	Upper Midwest
Charge: 🗆 Visa 🗆 Mastercard 🗆 American Express Expiration Date:	Michigan-Mid Michigan	□ Utah-Great Salt Lake
- · · ·	Michigan-Western Michigan	□ Virginia
Last 3 digits from the back of MC/Visa.	Ividdle East     Mississingi	Washington-Pacific Northwest
Amount Authorized: CSC#: 4 digits from the front of AMEX.	□ IVIISSISSIPPI □ Nebraska	West Virginia-Southeastern Ohio     Western New England
Cardholder's Name (as it appears on card):	□ New Jersev-Palisades	Wisconsin-Milwaukee
Signature of Cardholder:		
Daverant by Wise Transfer Instructions		
You <b>must</b> include account number +ABA number + bank fees. Please include the Member ID# and Name so	Special Interest Groups - Explore emer	ging science, technologies and practices

we may apply payment to the correct person.

USD: WELLS FARGO: 108 Federal Road, Danbury, CT 06811 USA

ACCT #2040607562129 ABA #121000248 SWIFT CODE #WFBIUS6S

EURO: HSBC Bank: 9 Penn Road, Beaconsfield, Buckinghamshire HP9 2PT UNITED KINGDOM ACCT #70841841 IBAN #GB03MIDL40051570841841 SWIFT CODE #MIDLGB22 SORT CODE #400515

The SPE Online Member Directory is included with membership. Your information is automatically included unless vou indicate otherwise.

- Exclude my email address from the Online Membership Directory
- Exclude all my information from the Online Membership Directory
- Exclude my address from 3rd party mailings

By signing below, I agree to be governed by the Bylaws of the Society and to promote the objectives of the Society. I certify that statements made in the application are correct and I authorize SPE and its affiliates to use my phone, fax, address and email to contact me. Signature Date

D Non-Halogen Flame Retardant Tech. - 030 □ Advanced Energy - 024 □ Plastic Pipe & Fittings - 021 □ Alloys and Blends - 010 □ Applied Rheology - 013 □ Plastics Educators - 018 □ Bioplastics - 028 □ Plastic in Building and Construction - 027 Composites Europe - 026 □ Process Monitoring & Control - 016 □ Extrusion Europe - 025 □ Quality/Continuous Improvement - 005 □ Failure Analysis & Prevention - 002 □ Radiation Processing of Polymers - 019 □ Joining of Plastics & Composites - 012 □ Rapid Design, Eng. & Mold Making - 020 □ Marketing & Management - 029 □ Thermoplastic Elastomers - 006 □ Nano/Micro Molding - 023

Recommended by (optional)

ID#

#### Publisher Note | Sponsors

Message from the Publisher



#### A big thank you to the authors and sponsors who supported this month's issue.

Molding Business Services www.moldingbusiness.com	2
P.E.T.S www.petsinc.net	7

#### Greetings!

I'd like to start off by giving a big thank you to Adam Kramschuster for all his dedication and efforts this past year as the IMD Chair and a congratulations to our new chair David Okonski. Feel free to contact David with your thoughts and ideas you have in regards to SPE IMD Division.

The next issue of our newsletter is the Fall edition. We are in need of member support for articles, technical papers and columnists. If you have an article, specialty in the industry to share with members on a regular basis for a column please contact me or Dave for more information on being published. Don't forget to send any questions on mold maintence or injection molding to our current contributors Bob Dealy and Steve Johnson who are hear to help answer any questions. Sponsorships are always available in a variety of ways, Newsletter, web site or conferences. These are great avenues to reach industry decision makers.

Enjoy the rest of your summer and than you to all who have contributed for this issue.

Thank you all, stay in touch!

Herd Junsin

Heidi Jensen PublisherIMDNewsletter@gmail.com

#### MARKETING OPPORTUNITIES AVAILABLE!

**Support Your Injection Molding Division** Sponsorships opportunities in many forms to fit many budgets.

**Sponsor ads:** 1X, 2X or each issue **Sponsor articles:** Various sizes and combinations (1X only) **Article submissions:** Informative noncommercial articles available all year.

Your support puts your company in front of over 5000 professionals in the Injection Molding Industry.

The Injection Molding Division publication is issued three times a year to *current and past members* worldwide.

For more information on sponsorships and/ or articles please e-mail: **PublisherIMDNewsletter@gmail.com** 

Keep informed on recent event information, industry news and more.

Keep the connection! Join us on:

Linked in Ewitter

